

Of these 103, 35 (34%) had either “Dam” or “Grandam” marked with “○.” Adding the crops having their “Sibling” marked with “○” brings the total to 62 (60%). The crops with at least one “○” (excluding the column of “Foal”) totaled 72 (70%). This percentage is lower compared to the Deep Impact’s crops, but it remains undeniable that the Galileo’s crops also boast relatively impressive maternal lineages.

Looking at Chart 5, however, what first stands out is the scarcity of “○” among the early Galileo’s GI-winning crops. It might suggest that Galileo as a sire represents a self-made story. In other words, it can be considered that although not many excellent broodmares were gathered in the early years of his stud duty, as the crops consistently performed well, such broodmares began to be gathered more and more.

**1.19 Comparison of Deep Impact’s and Galileo’s Crops**

From the above-mentioned, it can also be considered that a lot of top-class broodmares were actively gathered for mating with Deep Impact even in his first year of stud duty.

Then, the following Charts 6 and 7 break down the dam lineages of the Deep Impact’s and Galileo’s GI-winning crops, dividing their stud careers into three periods; early, mid, and late.

(\*) For Deep Impact, “Early” comprises 20 crops foaled in 2011 or earlier, “Mid” comprises 20 foaled from 2012 to 2015 and “Late” comprises 19 foaled in 2016 or later. Meanwhile, For Galileo, “Early” comprises 35 crops foaled in 2009 or earlier, “Mid” comprises 33 foaled from 2010 to 2014 and “Late” comprises 35 foaled in 2015 or later.

**Chart 6: Crops of Deep Impact**

		“○” in Dam or Grandam	“○” in Dam , Grandam or Sibling	“○” in Any (Exc. “Foal”)
Early	20	6 (30%)	13 (65%)	18 (90%)
Mid	20	9 (45%)	14 (70%)	16 (80%)
Late	19	10 (53%)	13 (68%)	17 (89%)
Total	59	25 (42%)	40 (68%)	51 (86%)

**Chart 7: Crops of Galileo**

		“○” in Dam or Grandam	“○” in Dam , Grandam or Sibling	“○” in Any (Exc. “Foal”)
Early	35	7 (20%)	14 (40%)	17 (49%)
Mid	33	12 (36%)	21 (64%)	26 (79%)
Late	35	16 (46%)	27 (77%)	29 (83%)
Total	103	35 (34%)	62 (60%)	72 (70%)

Looking at the above, it is clear that for both, the percentage of crops with the “○” marks in “Dam” or “Grandam” increases from Early to Mid, and further from Mid to Late. Does this mean that once a solid brand as a sire was established, top-class broodmares steadily began to be gathered? Nevertheless, if that were the case, one might argue that after establishing that brand, they should have produced far more GI winners. Thus, it is hard to say for certain.

Anyway, Deep Impact had an exceptionally high percentage of the GI-winning crops with the “○” marks in “Any,” i.e. the far-right column of Chart 6, even in Early.

Meanwhile, Reverting to Chart 5, the columns of “Sibling,” “Uncle, Aunt, Nephew, Niece” and “Cousin” for the Galileo’s crops from Mid onward appear to have a very high number of the “○” marks. As mentioned above, the degree of what is commonly called the “excellent pedigree” can be considered highest when there is the mark in the column of “Dam.” Therefore, the weight of the “○”marks in the left and right columns of the chart differs, but setting it aside, I have made a very rough comparison of the